P

App. F. IX-4

192 8. (V. P.)

File No. 57-28

Serial Nos. 3, 8, 10 & 12

Previous References

L.D. 119-28 Nos. 19, 20, 39, 52
53, 55, 58, 60-61, 67, 68, 70.

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 4

P. 13
B

Part B for October 1929

SUBJECT

Proposals for enhanced pay to Village Panchayat Inspectors.

Recorded without orders.

G.M.
23/10/29

4132

General and Revenue Secretariat	V.P File No 57	App. F. III—2] of 1928.

Serial No.	
3	Letter No. C 629-26 d 11. 8. 29 from the Dy Comr, Hassan Dist.

Forwards a copy of his letter No. C 629-26 d 9. 8. 29 to the Revenue Comr, re the grant of increased rate of salary to the Village Panchayet Inspectors in his district up to a maximum of Rs 60/- at his discretion as in the other district and requests sanction to his recommendation.

1 The Dy Comr's former proposals in the matter was vetoed by Govt in this Office letter No. L 6437/V.P. 57-28-29 the 9th Feb 1929.

2 The Dy Comr has reopened the question.

3 The continuance of the Village Panchayet Inspectors' posts have been sanctioned till the end of June 1930.

Subd for orders.

20/8/29

4 The Rev Comr had recommended but in view of the G.O. doing away with V.P. Inspectors from next year the recommendation was vetoed

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No of 192

Serial No.

5. The recommendation of the ~~D.C.~~ Rev. ~~Mysore~~ Comr was ~~is~~ that the Rev. Inspectors of the Mysore District who are appointed as Village Panchayet Inspectors may also at the discretion of the D.C. be granted pay up to Rs 10/- according to the circumstances of each case provided that the aggregate cost does not exceed the sanctioned scale for the District. This recommendation is made as the concession has been shown to some of the Shekdars in Bangalore & Chitaldrug Dist. – (vide para 3 of the notes in the V.P. 57-28) and work in the Mahal is more arduous & onerous. This was not sanctioned as the scheme of Special V.P. Inspectors was proposed to be abolished ~~to~~ at end of June 1929. The scheme will be continued for another year.

6. It seems however that deviations made in a few cases should not be urged for a rule being passed. Generally ~~Deputy~~ invariably Rev. Insprs will be in charge of the work. Any promotion to be granted should not prejudice the claims of others in the general line of Rev. Inspectors. The recommendation may be sanctioned perhaps with the ~~add~~ ~~words~~ condi

NOTE — Contn. sheet

Serial No.

also in addition to the condition that the total cost shall not exceed the sanctioned scale for the District

7 There is the other question of an allowance of Rs 10 p.m. to the clerk in the District office who is attending to the Village Panchayat work in addition to the L.S. fund & Muzrai dept work. While the head is not to be paid anything for L.S & Muzrai work, how is it justifiable to sanction ~~of~~ any allowance for V. P work is for consideration. If the D.C. can meet this also without addition to sanctioned scale for the District this may be considered.

(Ch. Secy)

MS
22/3

Await Rev. comr's recommendation

Sh.
25.3.29

CHIEF SECRETARY
22 MAR. 1929

V.P. 57-28-8-
4

Tel. Address—Depcom. Hassan

In reply please refer to
No. C. 1029-26
Encl:

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
HASSAN DISTRICT.

Dated, Hassan, the 24th April 1929.

GEN. & REV. SECTT.
4765
27 APR. 1929
L. S. G. BRANCH

From

S. ABDUL WAJID. Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Offg. Chief Secretary to the Mysore Government,
Bangalore.

L.S.G.

CHIEF SECRETARY
26 APR. 1929

25/4

Sir,

L4632

I have the honour to invite reference to my letter No. C. 1029-26 dated 11-3-1929, regarding the grant of increased rate of salary of the Village Panchayet Inspectors up to the sanctioned scale and also an allowance of Rs 10/- to the clerk of my office who is looking after the Village Panchayet work in addition to his other duties and to request the favour of sanction of Government to my recommendation at an early date.

27/4

V.P. 57-28-3.

25/5

M

I may mention that the Government have, in their order No. L. 6774-92/V.P. 45-288-5 dated 12-4-1929 sanctioned the continuance of the Village Panchayet Establishment for one year more i.e., up to end of 1929-1930.

Reg.
26/4/29

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Abdul Wajid
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

The Rev Comr's recommendation in the matter is not receivable now. If approved, the Rev Comr will be addressed in the matter.

1/5/29 8215

I.S.D.

2/5

Draft is sub for appl.

2/5/29

3/5

2763/42

5477

5

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

V P File No. 57 of 1928

Serial No.	
10	To, The Revenue Commr No. L 7372 d 8.5.1929 Forwards copy of the letter of the D. C. Hassan in re the increased rate of salary to V. P. Inspectors in his district and requests to forward the D. C's letter with his recommendation Forwards copy of the letter to the D. C. Hassan. No. L 7373 d 8.5.1929
12	Letter no. C. 4540 D/ 1/6 June 1929 from the Revenue Commr forwarding a copy of the Hassan D.C's letter no. 629-26 d/ 9-3-29 in the matter together with ~~his~~ a copy of his reply to the D.C and stating that on receipt of the particulars called for from the D.C, Govt will be addressed in the matter if necessary. Filed with reference to para 8 supra.

GEN. 20 MAY 1929 L. S. G. BRANCH

16/5/29

Submitted 2/6 K 24/6

The RC's report in the matter may be awaited.

Eng 26/6/29

Gl Secy

Yes

B.R.

26/6.

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 29/6/1929

GEN. 8 JULY 1929 L. S. G. BRANCH

The papers may perhaps be [illegible] now. The ~~[illegible]~~ action may be taken when the Rev Commr sends us a further communication

27/7/29

2D/3015

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

6

Tel. Address:—Depcom. Hassan

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
HASSAN DISTRICT
camp Arsikere,
Dated, *the* 11th March 1929.

In reply please refer to
No. 1029-26
Encl: one.

4132
r.P. 57-28-3-

GEN. & REV. SECTT.
14 MAR 1929
L. S. G. BRANCH.

3252, B27

From

S. ABDUL WAJID, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

To

N. Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A. B.L.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

CHIEF SECRETARY
12 MAR 1929

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of my letter No. C 1029-26 dated the 9th March 1929, addressed to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, in re: grant of increased rate of salary to the Village Panchayet Inspectors of this District up to a maximum of Rs 60/- at my discretion as in the other Districts and also of an allowance of Rs 10/- to one of the clerks who is attending to the Village Panchayet work in addition to the Local Self Government and Malnad Improvement branches of work in the District Office and to request you to kindly place the matter before Government and obtain their sanction to my recommendations at an early date.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Abdul Wajid.
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

Tel. Address—Dencom. Hassan

In reply please refer to C. 1029-26
No.........................
Encl:.........................

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
HASSAN DISTRICT,
Camp Arsikere
Dated, ~~Hassan~~ the 11th March 1929.

From

S. ABDUL WAJID, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

To

P.G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A. B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your memo No.C. 797/28-29 dated 23-2-1929 communicating the orders of Government declining to accord sanctio to the grant of salaries to the Village Panchayet Inspectors of this District up to a maximum of Rs 60/- at my discretion, I have the honour to invite reference to the concluding portion of my lette No.C.1029-26 dated 11-12-1928 and to state that my recommendation to grant an allowance of Rs 10/- per memsem to the clerk of my office from 1st July 1928 for attending to the Village Panchayet work in addition to the heavy work involved in the Local Self Government Branch and the Malnad Improvement scheme has not been considered and orders passed by Government in that behalf. I beg to mention here once again that the work of the clerk is heavy and there is also no separate establishment ~~fxx~~ sanctioned for either the Local Self Government, Malnad Improvement or the village Panchayet branch of work, and as such the grant of the allowance is necessary. I request you to kindly move the Government and obtain their sanction to the same at an early date.

As regards the grant of increased rate of salary to the Village Panchayet Inspectors, you are aware that the subject of the continuance of the Village Panchayet Inspectors' posts was discussed at the Meeting of Officers held on the 2nd instant and it is not unlikely that the consensus of opinion in favour of their continu-

continuance may weigh with the Government to continue them. Irrespective of this fact, I venture to submit that it is but fair that the incumbents should be shown the same concession as is shown to the officials of the same class in other Districts, at least so long as they continue. As already represented in my previous letters, the Village Panchayet Inspector in the Bangalore, Mysore and other Districts have been given higher rates of salary than those in this District from the very beginning.

In these circumstances, I request you to move the Government once again to reconsider my recommendation to allow the Village Panchayet Inspectors of this District to draw higher rates of salary up to a maximum of Rs 60/- and obtain their sanction to the same at an early date.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Abdul Wajid

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

Dy. Commr's letter then addressed to you

use your own decision thereon

at a very early date.

I have &c

3/5

for Genl Secy

2/5/29

Memo No. L/373

Copy forwarded to the Dy Commr, Hassan Dist, with ref to ~~his letter no.~~ the correspondence ending with his letter No. C. 1029-26 of the 26th April 1929.

By order

8/5

for Genl Secy

4/5/29

A. P. F. VI. G1}

SUBJECT..

Section V. P

File No. 57-28

Serial No. 10

No. L 7372
Enc. 1

Diary No. of letter replied to 4765 L

General and Revenue Secretariat
(L. S. G.)
the 192

To The Revenue Commr in Mysore

Date of issue 8/5/29

Signed by Mr C. E. Ramakan

List of enclosures....

Copied by

Examined by

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No. 1029-26 of the 11th March 1929 from the Dy. Commr, Hassan Dist, enclosing a copy of letter No. 1025-26 of the 9th March 1929 addressed to you re the grant of increased rate of salary to the Village Panchayet Inspectors in his ~~Dist~~ District up to a maximum of Rs 60/- at discretion and to request you to be so good as to transmit the

over

WD 0325—GPB—30,000—26-5-27

V.P 57-28

8/12

No. C.4540/28-29.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore,....1st........June........*192* 9.

GEN. 5477
8 JUNE 1929
L. S. U.

R. 10

FROM

P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The ~~*Chief*~~ General *Secretary to the Government*
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.L.7372/V.P. 57-28-10 dated the 8th May 1929 calling for a copy of Hassan Deputy Commissioner's letter No.1029-26 dated 9th March 1929 re grant of increased rate of salary to the Village Panchayet Inspectors in the Hassan District up to a maximum of Rs.60/-, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the same, together with a copy of my reply to him in the matter. On receipt of the particular called for from the Deputy Commissioner, Government will be addressed in the matter if considered necessary.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Assistant Commissioner,
for Revenue Commissioner,
Absent from station.

BR.

Letter No.C.1029-26 dated 11th March 1929, from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your Memo No.C.793/28-29 dated 23rd February 1929 communicating the orders of Government declining to accord sanction to the grant of salaries to the Village Panchayet Inspectors of this District up to a maximum of Rs.60/- at my discretion, I have the honour to invite reference to the concluding portion of my letter No.C.1029-26 dated 11th December 1928 and to state that my recommendation to grant an allowance of Rs.10/- per mensem to the clerk of my office from 1st July 1928 for attending to the Village Panchayet work in addition to the heavy work involved in the Local Self Government Branch and the Malnad Improvement Scheme has not been considered and orders passed by Government in that behalf. I beg to mention here once again that the work of the clerk is heavy and there is also no separate establishment sanctioned for either the Local Self Government, Malnad Improvement or the Village Panchayet Branch of work, and as such the grant of the allowance is necessary. I request you to kindly move the Government and obtain their sanction to the same at an early date.

As regards the grant of increased rate of salary to the Village Panchayet Inspectors, you are aware that the subject of the continuance of the Village Panchayet Inspectors' posts was discussed at the Meeting of Officers held on the 2nd instant and it is not unlikely that the consensus of opinion in favour of their continuance may weigh with ~~t~~ the Government to continue them. Irrespective of this fact, I venture to submit that it is but fair that the incumbents should be shown the same concession as is shown to the officials of the same class in other Districts, at least so long as they continue. As already represented in my previous letters, the Village Panchayet Inspector in the Bangalore, Mysore and other Districts have been given higher rates of salary than those in this District from the very beginning.

In these circumstances I request you to move the Government once again to reconsider my recommendation to allow the Village

P.T.O.

Village Panchayet Inspectors of this District to draw higher rates of salary up to a maximum of Rs.60/- and obtain their sanction to the same at an early date.

Docket No.C.4540/28-29 dated 10th May 1929, from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

With reference to his letter No.C.1029-26 dated 11th ~~Augxxxxx2x~~ March 1929 re grant of increased rate of salary to Village Panchayet Inspectors of his District, has the honour to request him to kindly furnish information on the points noted in the margin x in respect of the several Village Panchayet Inspectors.

x

1. Name.
2. Substantive post and post held before appointment as V.P.I. and salary drawn.
3. Qualifications and service.
4. Date of appointment as V.P.I. and starting salary.
5. Present pay as V.P.I.

His attention is also invited to this office No.C. 5729/27-28 dated 27th April 1929 stating that only men who are qualified and competent may be retained as Village Panchayet Inspectors and those who are not up to the mark may be reverted and to enquire whether all the existing incumbents satisfy the above requirement.

" C o p i e s "

W Hemp

For Revenue Commissioner.

BR.